



<b>Title</b>	<b>Liquid-Based Techniques for Cervical Cancer Screening: Systematic Review and Cost-Effectiveness Analysis</b>
<b>Agency</b>	CADTH, Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health Suite 600, 865 Carling Ave, Ottawa, Ontario K1S 5S8 Canada; Tel: +1 613 226 2553, Fax: +1 613 226 5392; publications@cadth.ca, www.cadth.ca
<b>Reference</b>	Technology report number 103, 2008. ISBN 978-1-897465-24-0 (print), 978-1-897465-25-7 (electronic)

## Aim

To assess the effectiveness and cost effectiveness of liquid-based cytology (LBC) versus conventional cytology (CC) for cervical cancer screening in a population of sexually active women  $\geq 15$  years of age.

## Conclusions and results

Twenty studies of 68 114 participants suggested that LBC was 6% more sensitive and 4% less specific than CC, on average. An LBC-based HPV triage program could cost an additional \$6.35 per targeted individual. Compared to annual screening with CC, LBC with HPV triage every 2 years could reduce the disease burden: Screening 3023 women would prevent one cancer-related death (a gain of 0.0002 QALYs) and reduce costs (\$59 per person, discounted) while increasing colposcopy rates by 37.5%. The same screen annually leads to a larger reduction in disease burden (0.0007 QALYs), but increased average costs (\$23 per person, discounted) and colposcopy referrals (by 63%).

## Recommendations

Not applicable.

## Methods

A systematic review and Bayesian meta-analysis, economic evaluation, and budget impact analysis were undertaken to compare CC, LBC, and LBC-based human papillomavirus (HPV) triage at 1-, 2-, and 3-year screening intervals.