

A checklist for HTA reports

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports – reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

An introduction, background, objective, context of material in the HTA checklist, etc is available at www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist.

Reference of report	Puñal Riobóo J., Triñanes Pego Y. [Safety and efficacy antibody-coatedc stent for the treatment orf the novo coronay artery diseases]. Santiago de Compostela: Agencia Gallega para la Gestion del Conocimiento en Salud (ACIS). Unidad de Asesoramiento Científico-técnico, avalia-t; 2016. Available from: https://avalia-t.sergas.gal/DXerais/681/avalia-t201606-Stents_Recubiertos_DEF.pdf
Organization (acronym)	Avalia-t
Name of person completing the checklist	Janet Puñal Riobóo
Email	avalia-t@sergas.es

Item	Yes	Partly	No		
Preliminary					
1. Appropriate contact details for further information?	X				
2. Authors identified?	X				
3. Statement regarding conflict of interest?	X				
4. Statement on whether report externally reviewed?	X				
5. Short summary in non-technical language?			X		
Why?					
6. Reference to the policy question that is addressed?	X				
7. Reference to the research question that is addressed?	X				
8. Scope of the assessment specified?	X				
9. Description of the assessed health technology?	X				
How?					
10. Details on sources of information and literature search strategies provided?					
Search strategy	Databases	Year range	Language restriction	Primary data	Other kind of information resources
YES	YES	Until April 2016	NO	YES	NO
Complete reference list of included studies	List of excluded studies	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria		
YES	YES	YES	YES		
11. Information on basis for the assessment and interpretation of selected data and information?					
Method of data extraction described?	Critical appraisal method (for quality assessment of the literature) described?	Method of data synthesis described?	Results of the assessment clearly presented, eg, in the form of evidence tables?		
YES	YES	YES	YES		

Context?					
12. Information on context <i>(may or may not apply to each HTA).</i>					
(Medico-) legal implications considered? NO	Economic analysis provided? NO	Ethical implications considered? NO	Social implications considered? NO	Other perspectives (stakeholders, patients, consumers) considered? NO	
What then?			Yes	Partly	No
13. Findings of the assessment discussed?			X		
14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated?			X		
15. Suggestions for further action?			X		