

A checklist for HTA reports

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports – reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

An introduction, background, objective, context of material in the HTA checklist, etc is available at www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist.

Reference of report	Paz-Valiñas L, Maceira-Rozas MC, Varela-Lema L. Nucleolisis discal percutánea mediante láser. (Nucleolysis percutaneous laser disc decompression). Santiago de Compostela: Agencia Gallega para la Gestión del Conocimiento en Salud (ACIS). Unidad de Asesoramiento Científico-técnico, avalia-t; 2016.
Organization (acronym)	Avalia-t
Name of person completing the checklist	Lucinda Paz Valiñas
Email	Avalia-t@sergas.es

Item	Yes	Partly	No
Preliminary			
1. Appropriate contact details for further information?	X		
2. Authors identified?	X		
3. Statement regarding conflict of interest?	X		
4. Statement on whether report externally reviewed?	X		
5. Short summary in non-technical language?			X
Why?			
6. Reference to the policy question that is addressed?	X		
7. Reference to the research question that is addressed?	X		
8. Scope of the assessment specified?	X		
9. Description of the assessed health technology?	X		
How?			
10. Details on sources of information and literature search strategies provided?			
Search strategy YES	Databases YES	Year range 2016-2016	Language restriction NO
Primary data YES	Other kind of information resources NO		
Complete reference list of included studies YES	List of excluded studies YES	Inclusion criteria YES	Exclusion criteria YES
11. Information on basis for the assessment and interpretation of selected data and information?			
Method of data extraction described? YES	Critical appraisal method (for quality assessment of the literature) described? YES	Method of data synthesis described? YES	Results of the assessment clearly presented, eg, in the form of evidence tables? YES
Context?			
12. Information on context (<i>may or may not apply to each HTA</i>).			
(Medico-) legal implications considered? NO	Economic analysis provided? NO	Ethical implications considered? NO	Social implications considered? NO
Other perspectives (stakeholders, patients, consumers) considered? NO			
What then?			
13. Findings of the assessment discussed?	X		
14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated?	X		
15. Suggestions for further action?	X		